

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/057,937 | 01/29/2002 | Yutaka Iyoki | P21953 | 3791 | |
| 7055 7 | 590 05/03/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. | | | SERRAO, R. | SERRAO, RANODHI N | |
| 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 2141 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | <u> </u> | Application No | . Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/057,937 | IYOKI, YUTAI | ΚΔ | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | <u> </u> | | | |
| - | • | Ranodhi Serrao | | | | | |
| TI | ne MAILING DATE of this communic | | | e address | | | |
| Period for R | | ., | | | | | |
| THE MAI - Extensions after SIX (i - If the perior - If NO perior - Failure to a Any reply i | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC of time may be available under the provisions of 30 MONTHS from the mailing date of this commund for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) and for reply is specified above, the maximum static reply within the set or extended period for reply we received by the Office later than three months after them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how nication. days, a reply within the statutory mutory period will apply and will expire till, by statute, cause the application | wever, may a reply be timely filed inimum of thirty (30) days will be considered a SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133 | this communication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Re: | sponsive to communication(s) filed | on <u>29 <i>January 2002</i></u> . | | | | | |
| 2a)∐ Thi | s action is FINAL. 28 | o)⊠ This action is non-fir | nal. | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition | · | , , , , , | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application | - | Evenine | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| • | 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 January 2002</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| • • | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| • | oath or declaration is objected to | · | * | | | | |
| Priority unde | er 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | _ | | | | |
| | References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) | Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) X Information | Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F (s)/Mail Date <u>12/15/04, 1/3/05</u> . | | Notice of Informal Patent Application | (PTO-152) | | | |
| | The contract of the contract o | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2141

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "kind of" is recited numerous times in the specification. This type of language fails to particularly point out and distinctly express the invention. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 13, and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 13, and 14, the phrase "kind of" renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. The phrase "kind of" conveys the same meaning as the phrase "type of." See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

The addition of the word "type" to an otherwise definite expression (e.g., Friedel-Crafts catalyst) extends the scope of the expression so as to render it indefinite. Ex parte Copenhaver, 109 USPQ 118 (Bd. App. 1955). Likewise, the phrase "ZSM-5-type aluminosilicate zeolites" was held to be indefinite because it was unclear what "type" was intended to convey. The interpretation was made more difficult by the fact that the zeolites defined in the dependent claims were not within the genus of the type of zeolites defined in the independent claim. Ex parte Attig, 7 USPQ2d 1092 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1986).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shima (2002/0004802).

As per claims 1, 5, and 10, Shima teaches a network scanner and file transmitting/receiving system that transmits a document file (paragraph 0110), obtained by scanning a document using the network scanner to a user terminal apparatus via a network (paragraph 0111), wherein when receiving said document file, said user terminal apparatus automatically starts up an external application corresponding to a kind of said document file to display said document file (paragraph 0131).

As per claims 2, 6, and 11, Shima teaches wherein file transmission/reception between said network scanner and said user terminal apparatus is performed based on an Lpr/Lpd protocol (paragraph 0167).

As per claims 3, 7, and 12, Shima teaches wherein said document file is displayed on said external document file in the form of thumbnail (paragraphs 0129-0131).

Art Unit: 2141

As per claims 4, 8, and 13, Shima teaches wherein it is determined whether or not said document file is displayed on said external document file in the form of thumbnail according to the kind of said document file (paragraph 0140).

As per claims 9 and 14, Shima teaches a user terminal apparatus comprising: a receiving section which receives a document file using a Lpd protocol via a network (paragraph 0167); a start up section which automatically starts up an external application corresponding to a kind of said document file which is specified by an extension of a file name of said document file (paragraph 0142), when receiving said file name of said document file which is sent using said Lpd protocol (paragraph 0167); a display section which displays said document file using said external application which is started up by said start up section (paragraph 0127).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Maniwa (5,764,866) teaches a scanner, network scanner system, and method for network scanner system. Lo et al. (5,911,044) teaches a network image scanning system which transmits image information from a scanner over a network to a client computer. Umebayashi (6,839,147) teaches an electronic equipment control system by communication protocol. Kumpf et al. (6,223,223) teaches a network scanner contention handling method.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,937 Page 5

Art Unit: 2141

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ranodhi Serrao whose telephone number is (571)272-7967. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30pm, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571)272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RUPAL DHARIA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER